

POLITICAL.

The Coalition Continued.

We have a complete confirmation of the nomination of James G. Birney for Representative by the Loco-Focos of Saginaw County, and that it was done with the consent and approbation previously obtained. Both are established facts.

On the 20th of October 30, published at Saginaw, now before us, contains the official proceedings of the Loco-Foco County Convention, signed "A. Miller, chairman," and "T. M. Waters, Secretary." These proceedings give the ticket nominated, all of them Loco-Foco and at the head "for representative, James G. Birney." Among the other nominees are Charles D. Williams, for Associate Judge, W. L. P. Little, for County Clerk, Albert Miller, for Judge of Probate, all thorough-going Loco-Focos.

We have also the following extract from a business letter received by a mercantile firm in this city from a gentleman of the first respectability in Saginaw:

"James G. Birney is nominated by the Democrats or Loco-Focos of this County for their candidate in the ensuing election for this Legislature. Mr. Birney solicited the nomination, and when the meeting of delegates convened the general demand to whom he had stated his desire for such nomination, stated to the meeting that 'MR. BIRNEY and told him he was a Democrat in principle, and would, if elected, support Democratic men as Democrats.'"

Upon this representation of one of the most influential and candiding men in the county, Mr. Birney received the nomination of the party. "Consistency, then, at a price." The President, (that is to be) allows himself to be made the body of the coalition, and in doing so, he is not only making a mockery of the Democratic principle, but he is also making a mockery of the Loco-Foco principle. We can understand from what motive and through what influence his abuse has been heaped upon you—We are all alive here on the subject.

SAGINAW, September 23, 1844.

Here are the facts. They are plain and irrefragable. Mr. Birney is about to be co-opted with the Loco-Focos for a seat in the State Legislature, and in doing so, he is making a mockery of the Democratic principle, and in doing so, he is also making a mockery of the Loco-Foco principle. We can understand from what motive and through what influence his abuse has been heaped upon you—We are all alive here on the subject.

From the New York Tribune.

Another Letter from James G. Birney.

Hartford, Conn. Oct. 10, 1844.

To the Editor of the Tribune.

I have just read the Tribune of today and article headed "Coalition of James G. Birney with the Loco-Focos." The charge of coalition was on the fact, that I have been nominated for the Legislature of Michigan by a Democratic convention, and that I assented to its nomination.

The following is all of substance, that is necessary to explain the transaction:

During my absence from home, last year, in New-England, it was proposed in the Wisconsin Convention of the Liberty Party, to nominate me for the Legislature. The nomination, however, was not made, on the ground, as I was informed, that the County, in that event, would be split, and the expense of holding another election, being asked, on my return, whether I would have served had I been elected, I replied that I would; that as every voter in the County knew that I was an Abolitionist—a member of the Liberty party, and opposed to both parties—I had used every means to secure public and private support, to secure their unanimity—I would regard my election as coming from the people, irrespective of party.

Last Spring and Summer, complaints of mismanagement on the part of the County authorities were made by the people of the County. I, therefore, called a meeting of the County, to correct the mismanagement. The County Committee were the only ones who were not implicated were of both the Whig and Democratic parties. A public meeting was held to take into consideration the charges. I presented the facts of the case to the meeting, and supported a set of resolutions, which were adopted, and which related to the County Committee. The course I took met with the approbation of those who were present and of those who were not—excepting, very likely, the parties implicated and their near friends.

The same evening I reviewed before a large assembly, embracing nearly all who had been in the County meeting just mentioned, the course of the Democratic and Whig parties, as connected with the cause of human liberty—with the just claims of the North, and the integrity of the Constitution. The meeting was a success, and the Whig and Democratic parties, who were present, were all in favor of the course I took. I told them moreover, that I should regard my election as proof that the people intended to put an end to the pernicious party contests, by which their own peace and interests of the County had been so long marred.

Although I have been nominated by my Democratic neighbors, no one in the County would have spoken of me as of that party, had I not been given by the Wisconsin Convention, and especially by the originator of the Coalition story, the Detroit Advertiser, a print that has spared neither fact nor fiction to win over the Liberty party in Michigan to the support of the Whigs, by the means of its false and malicious insinuations of its falseness may be seen in the statement transferred to the Tribune—that if my conference with General Hall at Flint were divulged, it would be a disclosure, that my mission to Mr. Birney, was to secure the nomination of the Loco-Focos, as well as having Abolitionists of New-York, though checked under the pretence of a visit to my wife residing in Connecticut. I neither saw General Hall, nor was I a visitor, nor have I ever exchanged any word with him on any matter of party politics. This is all fiction. Whatever I have done has been done openly, and I absolve from every obligation of secrecy all persons with whom I have conversed on matters pertaining to public men or party measures.

Part of the article in the Tribune is a letter signed by A. P. Davis of Flint, in Michigan. He professes to have discovered the clue to my "extensive intimacy" to Mr. Birney, and to have said nomination, and in the fact of my first marriage having been in the Marshall family—Now it turns out that my first marriage was not into the Marshall family, and that that family, the Kentucks, are with me on 30, publishing as far as I have heard, favorable to the election of Mr. Clay—if it mean any thing more than political opposition—is wholly imaginary. I have no reasons for opposing Mr. Clay on personal grounds. On the contrary the intercourse we have had, has been of the most friendly character. I oppose his election, because he disbelieves the great political truths of the Declaration of Independence, the rights of man, and the just Government, and because he repudiates the paramount object of the Union, the perpetuation of liberty to all. On the same ground I oppose the election of Mr. Polk. But I more deplore the election of Mr. Clay—because, possessing abilities superior to Mr. Polk's, he would proportionally weaken the influence of those truths on the minds of our countrymen.

Respectfully, &c.

JAMES G. BIRNEY.

P. S. The only direct insinuation made and repeated by this nomination has been conveyed to me by a member of the Whig party residing at Saginaw in a letter just received. His language shows that my nomination to the Legislature is not owing to party attachment. He says, "I think you may make an effort to spend this winter in Detroit, for this seems to be the wish of a good number of both parties."

Albion, to the Editor.

Now, having given space to an extended account, or, as you might say, a full and complete statement of the circumstances under which he has been nominated by the Loco-Focos of his County for a

seat in the Legislature of Michigan, we will leave our readers to judge of the completeness and candor of these representations, while we simply ask our correspondent three plain questions, to which we desire straight-forward answers. They are:

1. James G. Birney—Were you not well satisfied, before you left Michigan, that the party supporting Polk and Dallas would nominate you as their candidate for the Legislature?

2. Is it a habit of that party to nominate members for such an office? Do you remember any previous instance in which this has been done on grounds of personal preference, and with no expectation of party advantage?

3. Did you ever expect or believe that the Whig party of Saginaw would nominate you for the Legislature?

—Should you answer these questions succinctly, we trust the public will have some fair light on the subject.—E. L. Tribune.

From the New York Courier and Enquirer.

Polk, Texas, or Disunion.

The Loco-Focos of the South are active and energetic as ever in their efforts to secure the nomination of James G. Birney for the Presidency. They are now in the midst of a campaign, and are making every effort to secure his nomination.

It is a habit of that party to nominate members for such an office? Do you remember any previous instance in which this has been done on grounds of personal preference, and with no expectation of party advantage?

Did you ever expect or believe that the Whig party of Saginaw would nominate you for the Legislature?

—Should you answer these questions succinctly, we trust the public will have some fair light on the subject.—E. L. Tribune.

From the New York Tribune.

Another Letter from James G. Birney.

Hartford, Conn. Oct. 10, 1844.

To the Editor of the Tribune.

I have just read the Tribune of today and article headed "Coalition of James G. Birney with the Loco-Focos." The charge of coalition was on the fact, that I have been nominated for the Legislature of Michigan by a Democratic convention, and that I assented to its nomination.

The following is all of substance, that is necessary to explain the transaction:

During my absence from home, last year, in New-England, it was proposed in the Wisconsin Convention of the Liberty Party, to nominate me for the Legislature. The nomination, however, was not made, on the ground, as I was informed, that the County, in that event, would be split, and the expense of holding another election, being asked, on my return, whether I would have served had I been elected, I replied that I would; that as every voter in the County knew that I was an Abolitionist—a member of the Liberty party, and opposed to both parties—I had used every means to secure public and private support, to secure their unanimity—I would regard my election as coming from the people, irrespective of party.

Last Spring and Summer, complaints of mismanagement on the part of the County authorities were made by the people of the County. I, therefore, called a meeting of the County, to correct the mismanagement. The County Committee were the only ones who were not implicated were of both the Whig and Democratic parties. A public meeting was held to take into consideration the charges. I presented the facts of the case to the meeting, and supported a set of resolutions, which were adopted, and which related to the County Committee. The course I took met with the approbation of those who were present and of those who were not—excepting, very likely, the parties implicated and their near friends.

The same evening I reviewed before a large assembly, embracing nearly all who had been in the County meeting just mentioned, the course of the Democratic and Whig parties, as connected with the cause of human liberty—with the just claims of the North, and the integrity of the Constitution. The meeting was a success, and the Whig and Democratic parties, who were present, were all in favor of the course I took. I told them moreover, that I should regard my election as proof that the people intended to put an end to the pernicious party contests, by which their own peace and interests of the County had been so long marred.

Although I have been nominated by my Democratic neighbors, no one in the County would have spoken of me as of that party, had I not been given by the Wisconsin Convention, and especially by the originator of the Coalition story, the Detroit Advertiser, a print that has spared neither fact nor fiction to win over the Liberty party in Michigan to the support of the Whigs, by the means of its false and malicious insinuations of its falseness may be seen in the statement transferred to the Tribune—that if my conference with General Hall at Flint were divulged, it would be a disclosure, that my mission to Mr. Birney, was to secure the nomination of the Loco-Focos, as well as having Abolitionists of New-York, though checked under the pretence of a visit to my wife residing in Connecticut. I neither saw General Hall, nor was I a visitor, nor have I ever exchanged any word with him on any matter of party politics. This is all fiction. Whatever I have done has been done openly, and I absolve from every obligation of secrecy all persons with whom I have conversed on matters pertaining to public men or party measures.

Part of the article in the Tribune is a letter signed by A. P. Davis of Flint, in Michigan. He professes to have discovered the clue to my "extensive intimacy" to Mr. Birney, and to have said nomination, and in the fact of my first marriage having been in the Marshall family—Now it turns out that my first marriage was not into the Marshall family, and that that family, the Kentucks, are with me on 30, publishing as far as I have heard, favorable to the election of Mr. Clay—if it mean any thing more than political opposition—is wholly imaginary. I have no reasons for opposing Mr. Clay on personal grounds. On the contrary the intercourse we have had, has been of the most friendly character. I oppose his election, because he disbelieves the great political truths of the Declaration of Independence, the rights of man, and the just Government, and because he repudiates the paramount object of the Union, the perpetuation of liberty to all. On the same ground I oppose the election of Mr. Polk. But I more deplore the election of Mr. Clay—because, possessing abilities superior to Mr. Polk's, he would proportionally weaken the influence of those truths on the minds of our countrymen.

Respectfully, &c.

JAMES G. BIRNEY.

P. S. The only direct insinuation made and repeated by this nomination has been conveyed to me by a member of the Whig party residing at Saginaw in a letter just received. His language shows that my nomination to the Legislature is not owing to party attachment. He says, "I think you may make an effort to spend this winter in Detroit, for this seems to be the wish of a good number of both parties."

Albion, to the Editor.

Now, having given space to an extended account, or, as you might say, a full and complete statement of the circumstances under which he has been nominated by the Loco-Focos of his County for a

seat in the Legislature of Michigan, we will leave our readers to judge of the completeness and candor of these representations, while we simply ask our correspondent three plain questions, to which we desire straight-forward answers. They are:

1. James G. Birney—Were you not well satisfied, before you left Michigan, that the party supporting Polk and Dallas would nominate you as their candidate for the Legislature?

2. Is it a habit of that party to nominate members for such an office? Do you remember any previous instance in which this has been done on grounds of personal preference, and with no expectation of party advantage?

3. Did you ever expect or believe that the Whig party of Saginaw would nominate you for the Legislature?

—Should you answer these questions succinctly, we trust the public will have some fair light on the subject.—E. L. Tribune.

From the New York Courier and Enquirer.

Polk, Texas, or Disunion.

The Loco-Focos of the South are active and energetic as ever in their efforts to secure the nomination of James G. Birney for the Presidency. They are now in the midst of a campaign, and are making every effort to secure his nomination.

It is a habit of that party to nominate members for such an office? Do you remember any previous instance in which this has been done on grounds of personal preference, and with no expectation of party advantage?

Did you ever expect or believe that the Whig party of Saginaw would nominate you for the Legislature?

—Should you answer these questions succinctly, we trust the public will have some fair light on the subject.—E. L. Tribune.

From the New York Tribune.

Another Letter from James G. Birney.

Hartford, Conn. Oct. 10, 1844.

To the Editor of the Tribune.

I have just read the Tribune of today and article headed "Coalition of James G. Birney with the Loco-Focos." The charge of coalition was on the fact, that I have been nominated for the Legislature of Michigan by a Democratic convention, and that I assented to its nomination.

The following is all of substance, that is necessary to explain the transaction:

During my absence from home, last year, in New-England, it was proposed in the Wisconsin Convention of the Liberty Party, to nominate me for the Legislature. The nomination, however, was not made, on the ground, as I was informed, that the County, in that event, would be split, and the expense of holding another election, being asked, on my return, whether I would have served had I been elected, I replied that I would; that as every voter in the County knew that I was an Abolitionist—a member of the Liberty party, and opposed to both parties—I had used every means to secure public and private support, to secure their unanimity—I would regard my election as coming from the people, irrespective of party.

Last Spring and Summer, complaints of mismanagement on the part of the County authorities were made by the people of the County. I, therefore, called a meeting of the County, to correct the mismanagement. The County Committee were the only ones who were not implicated were of both the Whig and Democratic parties. A public meeting was held to take into consideration the charges. I presented the facts of the case to the meeting, and supported a set of resolutions, which were adopted, and which related to the County Committee. The course I took met with the approbation of those who were present and of those who were not—excepting, very likely, the parties implicated and their near friends.

The same evening I reviewed before a large assembly, embracing nearly all who had been in the County meeting just mentioned, the course of the Democratic and Whig parties, as connected with the cause of human liberty—with the just claims of the North, and the integrity of the Constitution. The meeting was a success, and the Whig and Democratic parties, who were present, were all in favor of the course I took. I told them moreover, that I should regard my election as proof that the people intended to put an end to the pernicious party contests, by which their own peace and interests of the County had been so long marred.

Although I have been nominated by my Democratic neighbors, no one in the County would have spoken of me as of that party, had I not been given by the Wisconsin Convention, and especially by the originator of the Coalition story, the Detroit Advertiser, a print that has spared neither fact nor fiction to win over the Liberty party in Michigan to the support of the Whigs, by the means of its false and malicious insinuations of its falseness may be seen in the statement transferred to the Tribune—that if my conference with General Hall at Flint were divulged, it would be a disclosure, that my mission to Mr. Birney, was to secure the nomination of the Loco-Focos, as well as having Abolitionists of New-York, though checked under the pretence of a visit to my wife residing in Connecticut. I neither saw General Hall, nor was I a visitor, nor have I ever exchanged any word with him on any matter of party politics. This is all fiction. Whatever I have done has been done openly, and I absolve from every obligation of secrecy all persons with whom I have conversed on matters pertaining to public men or party measures.

Part of the article in the Tribune is a letter signed by A. P. Davis of Flint, in Michigan. He professes to have discovered the clue to my "extensive intimacy" to Mr. Birney, and to have said nomination, and in the fact of my first marriage having been in the Marshall family—Now it turns out that my first marriage was not into the Marshall family, and that that family, the Kentucks, are with me on 30, publishing as far as I have heard, favorable to the election of Mr. Clay—if it mean any thing more than political opposition—is wholly imaginary. I have no reasons for opposing Mr. Clay on personal grounds. On the contrary the intercourse we have had, has been of the most friendly character. I oppose his election, because he disbelieves the great political truths of the Declaration of Independence, the rights of man, and the just Government, and because he repudiates the paramount object of the Union, the perpetuation of liberty to all. On the same ground I oppose the election of Mr. Polk. But I more deplore the election of Mr. Clay—because, possessing abilities superior to Mr. Polk's, he would proportionally weaken the influence of those truths on the minds of our countrymen.

Respectfully, &c.

JAMES G. BIRNEY.

P. S. The only direct insinuation made and repeated by this nomination has been conveyed to me by a member of the Whig party residing at Saginaw in a letter just received. His language shows that my nomination to the Legislature is not owing to party attachment. He says, "I think you may make an effort to spend this winter in Detroit, for this seems to be the wish of a good number of both parties."

Albion, to the Editor.

Now, having given space to an extended account, or, as you might say, a full and complete statement of the circumstances under which he has been nominated by the Loco-Focos of his County for a

seat in the Legislature of Michigan, we will leave our readers to judge of the completeness and candor of these representations, while we simply ask our correspondent three plain questions, to which we desire straight-forward answers. They are:

1. James G. Birney—Were you not well satisfied, before you left Michigan, that the party supporting Polk and Dallas would nominate you as their candidate for the Legislature?

2. Is it a habit of that party to nominate members for such an office? Do you remember any previous instance in which this has been done on grounds of personal preference, and with no expectation of party advantage?

3. Did you ever expect or believe that the Whig party of Saginaw would nominate you for the Legislature?

—Should you answer these questions succinctly, we trust the public will have some fair light on the subject.—E. L. Tribune.

From the New York Courier and Enquirer.

Polk, Texas, or Disunion.

The Loco-Focos of the South are active and energetic as ever in their efforts to secure the nomination of James G. Birney for the Presidency. They are now in the midst of a campaign, and are making every effort to secure his nomination.

It is a habit of that party to nominate members for such an office? Do you remember any previous instance in which this has been done on grounds of personal preference, and with no expectation of party advantage?

Did you ever expect or believe that the Whig party of Saginaw would nominate you for the Legislature?

—Should you answer these questions succinctly, we trust the public will have some fair light on the subject.—E. L. Tribune.

From the New York Tribune.

Another Letter from James G. Birney.

Hartford, Conn. Oct. 10, 1844.

To the Editor of the Tribune.

I have just read the Tribune of today and article headed "Coalition of James G. Birney with the Loco-Focos." The charge of coalition was on the fact, that I have been nominated for the Legislature of Michigan by a Democratic convention, and that I assented to its nomination.

The following is all of substance, that is necessary to explain the transaction:

During my absence from home, last year, in New-England, it was proposed in the Wisconsin Convention of the Liberty Party, to nominate me for the Legislature. The nomination, however, was not made, on the ground, as I was informed, that the County, in that event, would be split, and the expense of holding another election, being asked, on my return, whether I would have served had I been elected, I replied that I would; that as every voter in the County knew that I was an Abolitionist—a member of the Liberty party, and opposed to both parties—I had used every means to secure public and private support, to secure their unanimity—I would regard my election as coming from the people, irrespective of party.

Last Spring and Summer, complaints of mismanagement on the part of the County authorities were made by the people of the County. I, therefore, called a meeting of the County, to correct the mismanagement. The County Committee were the only ones who were not implicated were of both the Whig and Democratic parties. A public meeting was held to take into consideration the charges. I presented the facts of the case to the meeting, and supported a set of resolutions, which were adopted, and which related to the County Committee. The course I took met with the approbation of those who were present and of those who were not—excepting, very likely, the parties implicated and their near friends.

The same evening I reviewed before a large assembly, embracing nearly all who had been in the County meeting just mentioned, the course of the Democratic and Whig parties, as connected with the cause of human liberty—with the just claims of the North, and the integrity of the Constitution. The meeting was a success, and the Whig and Democratic parties, who were present, were all in favor of the course I took. I told them moreover, that I should regard my election as proof that the people intended to put an end to the pernicious party contests, by which their own peace and interests of the County had been so long marred.

Although I have been nominated by my Democratic neighbors, no one in the County would have spoken of me as of that party, had I not been given by the Wisconsin Convention, and especially by the originator of the Coalition story, the Detroit Advertiser, a print that has spared neither fact nor fiction to win over the Liberty party in Michigan to the support of the Whigs, by the means of its false and malicious insinuations of its falseness may be seen in the statement transferred to the Tribune—that if my conference with General Hall at Flint were divulged, it would be a disclosure, that my mission to Mr. Birney, was to secure the nomination of the Loco-Focos, as well as having Abolitionists of New-York, though checked under the pretence of a visit to my wife residing in Connecticut. I neither saw General Hall, nor was I a visitor, nor have I ever exchanged any word with him on any matter of party politics. This is all fiction. Whatever I have done has been done openly, and I absolve from every obligation of secrecy all persons with whom I have conversed on matters pertaining to public men or party measures.

Part of the article in the Tribune is a letter signed by A. P. Davis of Flint, in Michigan. He professes to have discovered the clue to my "extensive intimacy" to Mr. Birney, and to have said nomination, and in the fact of my first marriage having been in the Marshall family—Now it turns out that my first marriage was not into the Marshall family, and that that family, the Kentucks, are with me on 30, publishing as far as I have heard, favorable to the election of Mr. Clay—if it mean any thing more than political opposition—is wholly imaginary. I have no reasons for opposing Mr. Clay on personal grounds. On the contrary the intercourse we have had, has been of the most friendly character. I oppose his election, because he disbelieves the great political truths of the Declaration of Independence, the rights of man, and the just Government, and because he repudiates the paramount object of the Union, the perpetuation of liberty to all. On the same ground I oppose the election of Mr. Polk. But I more deplore the election of Mr. Clay—because, possessing abilities superior to Mr. Polk's, he would proportionally weaken the influence of those truths on the minds of our countrymen.

Respectfully, &c.

JAMES G. BIRNEY.

P. S. The only direct insinuation made and repeated by this nomination has been conveyed to me by a member of the Whig party residing at Saginaw in a letter just received. His language shows that my nomination to the Legislature is not owing to party attachment. He says, "I think you may make an effort to spend this winter in Detroit, for this seems to be the wish of a good number of both parties."

Albion, to the Editor.

Now, having given space to an extended account, or, as you might say, a full and complete statement of the circumstances under which he has been nominated by the Loco-Focos of his County for a

seat in the Legislature of Michigan, we will leave our readers to judge of the completeness and candor of these representations, while we simply ask our correspondent three plain questions, to which we desire straight-forward answers. They are:

1. James G. Birney—Were you not well satisfied, before you left Michigan, that the party supporting Polk and Dallas would nominate you as their candidate for the Legislature?

2. Is it a habit of that party to nominate members for such an office? Do you remember any previous instance in which this has been done on grounds of personal preference, and with no expectation of party advantage?

3. Did you ever expect or believe that the Whig party of Saginaw would nominate you for the Legislature?

—Should you answer these questions succinctly, we trust the public will have some fair light on the subject.—E. L. Tribune.

From the New York Courier and Enquirer.

Polk, Texas, or Disunion.

The Loco-Focos of the South are active and energetic as ever in their efforts to secure the nomination of James G. Birney for the Presidency. They are now in the midst of a campaign, and are making every effort to secure his nomination.

It is a habit of that party to nominate members for such an office? Do you remember any previous instance in which this has been done on grounds of personal preference, and with no expectation of party advantage?

Did you ever expect or believe that the Whig party of Saginaw would nominate you for the Legislature?

—Should you answer these questions succinctly, we trust the public will have some fair light on the subject.—E. L. Tribune.

From the New York Tribune.

Another Letter from James G. Birney.

Hartford, Conn. Oct. 10, 1844.

To the Editor of the Tribune.

I have just read the Tribune of today and article headed "Coalition of James G. Birney with the Loco-Focos." The charge of coalition was on the fact, that I have been nominated for the Legislature of Michigan by a Democratic convention, and that I assented to its nomination.

The following is all of substance, that is necessary to explain the transaction:

During my absence from home, last year, in New-England, it was proposed in the Wisconsin Convention of the Liberty Party, to nominate me for the Legislature. The nomination, however, was not made, on the ground, as I was informed, that the County, in that event, would be split, and the expense of holding another election, being asked, on my return, whether I would have served had I been elected, I replied that I would; that as every voter in the County knew that I was an Abolitionist—a member of the Liberty party, and opposed to both parties—I had used every means to secure public and private support, to secure their unanimity—I would regard my election as coming from the people, irrespective of party.

Last Spring and Summer, complaints of mismanagement on the part of the County authorities were made by the people of the County. I, therefore, called a meeting of the County, to correct the mismanagement. The County Committee were the only ones who were not implicated were of both the Whig and Democratic parties. A public meeting was held to take into consideration the charges. I presented the facts of the case to the meeting, and supported a set of resolutions, which were adopted, and which related to the County Committee. The course I took met with the approbation of those who were present and of those who were not—excepting, very likely, the parties implicated and their near friends.

The same evening I reviewed before a large assembly, embracing nearly all who had been in the County meeting just mentioned, the course of the Democratic and Whig parties, as connected with the cause of human liberty—with the just claims of the North, and the integrity of the Constitution. The meeting was a success, and the Whig and Democratic parties, who were present, were all in favor of the course I took. I told them moreover, that I should regard my election as proof that the people intended to put an end to the pernicious party contests, by which their own peace and interests of the County had been so long marred.

Although I have been nominated by my Democratic neighbors, no one in the County would have spoken of me as of that party, had I not been given by the Wisconsin Convention, and especially by the originator of the Coalition story, the Detroit Advertiser, a print that has spared neither fact nor fiction to win over the Liberty party in Michigan to the support of the Whigs, by the means of its false and malicious insinuations of its falseness may be seen in the statement transferred to the Tribune—that if my conference with General Hall at Flint were divulged, it would be a disclosure, that my mission to Mr. Birney, was to secure the nomination of the Loco-Focos, as well as having Abolitionists of New-York, though checked under the pretence of a visit to my wife residing in Connecticut. I neither saw General Hall, nor was I a visitor, nor have I ever exchanged any word with him on any matter of party politics. This is all fiction. Whatever I have done has been done openly, and I absolve from every obligation of secrecy all persons with whom I have conversed on matters pertaining to public men or party measures.

Part of the article in the Tribune is a letter signed by A. P. Davis of Flint, in Michigan. He professes to have discovered the clue to my "extensive intimacy" to Mr. Birney, and to have said nomination, and in the fact of my first marriage having been in the Marshall family—Now it turns out that my first marriage was not into the Marshall family, and that that family, the Kentucks, are with me on 30, publishing as far as I have heard, favorable to the election of Mr. Clay—if it mean any thing more than political opposition—is wholly imaginary. I have no reasons for opposing Mr. Clay on personal grounds. On the contrary the intercourse we have had, has been of the most friendly character. I oppose his election, because he disbelieves the great political truths of the Declaration of Independence, the rights of man, and the just Government, and because he repudiates the paramount object of the Union, the perpetuation of liberty to all. On the same ground I oppose the election of Mr. Polk. But I more deplore the election of Mr. Clay—because, possessing abilities superior to Mr. Polk's, he would proportionally weaken the influence of those truths on the minds of our countrymen.

Respectfully, &c.

JAMES G. BIRNEY.

P. S. The only direct insinuation made and repeated by this nomination has been conveyed to me by a member of the Whig party residing at Saginaw in a letter just received. His language shows that my nomination to the Legislature is not owing to party attachment. He says, "I think you may make an effort to spend this winter in Detroit, for this seems to be the wish of a good number of both parties."

Albion, to the Editor.

Now, having given space to an extended account, or, as you might say, a full and complete statement of the circumstances under which he has been nominated by the Loco-Focos of his County for a

seat in the Legislature of Michigan, we will leave our readers to judge of the completeness and candor of these representations, while we simply ask our correspondent three plain questions, to which we desire straight-forward answers. They are:

1. James G. Birney—Were you not well satisfied, before you left Michigan, that the party supporting Polk and Dallas would nominate you as their candidate for the Legislature?

2. Is it a habit of that party to nominate members for such an office? Do you remember any previous instance in which this has been done on grounds of personal preference, and with no expectation of party advantage?

3. Did you ever expect or believe that the Whig party of Saginaw would nominate you for the Legislature?

—Should you answer these questions succinctly, we trust the public will have some fair light on the subject.—E. L. Tribune.

